

Research Article

How to Collect Cord Blood?

Leanza V¹, Pafumi C^{1*}, Leanza G¹, D'Agati A¹

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Catania (Italy)

**Corresponding author: Prof. Pafumi Carlo, Università degli studi di Catania, S. Bambino Hospital Via Torre del Vescovo 2 95122-Catania, Italy, Email: pafumi@unict.it*

Received: 16-01-2018

Accepted: 05-02-2018

Published: 09-02-2018

Copyright: © 2018 Pafumi Carlo

Abstract

Aims: Efforts on UCB research have now taken a step further with the establishment of numerous cord blood banks throughout the world.

Methods: Blood collection consisted of puncturing, with an 18-gauge needle, the umbilical cord vein and withdrawing the blood into a sterile bag immediately after clamping and newborn assistance. The blood was collected when the placenta was still in utero.

Results: Among them 429 were collected from newborns delivered vaginally (Group 1) and 434 were collected from caesarean sections (Group 2). In this study we evaluated the volume of blood collected and the number of CD34+ cells contained in the foetal cord blood and we demonstrated that these two parameters were similar between the two groups.

Conclusions: The higher median volume of blood collected from infant delivered through a caesarean section seems mainly due to the different clamping time rather than to the kind of delivery.

Keywords: Haematopoietic Stem Cells; Umbilical Cord; Cryopreservation; Cord Blood Bank; Nucleated

Introduction

A lot of research has been performed all over the world on umbilical cord blood (UCB), and many UCB banks have been instituted in the U.S.A. and Europe, since Gabutti, for the first time, collected and isolated several foetal haematopoietic progenitor cells from the residual placental blood, after delivery and ligation of the umbilical cord. In Italy, also, UCB banks belonging to the GRACE group of Milan connected to the European Netcord have been established. Recently, a new UCB bank has been instituted in Sicily, particularly in Sciacca. It is currently waiting for the official affiliation to the GRACE group (ISO 9002 certification).

Considered as an alternative source of haematopoietic stem cells to bone marrow, UCB is becoming more common in the treatment of malignant and non-malignant haematologic and immunologic diseases. Rubinstein argues that UCB confers some additional advantages when compared to hematopoi-

etic stem cells taken from bone marrow. For example, there is a lower risk of graft-versus-host disease despite HLA mismatch in transplantation with UCB, and there is no risk or pain for the donor. However, some disadvantages have been noted, like a relatively lower amount of stem cells acquired.

Materials and Methods

Since the beginning of its activity, the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Department of the University of Catania has been involved in the collection of UCB samples. From December 1999 to February 2001, 863 UCB units had been collected and sent to Sciacca's bank. Among them, 429 were collected from newborns delivered vaginally, while the remaining were collected from caesarean sections. All vaginal deliveries were done under epidural or local infiltration anaesthesia and uterotonic drugs were not given before cord clamping. Significant obstetric complications were not

recorded. All infants were in the 38th-41st week of gestation, with an Apgar score of 7 or more at the 1st and 5th minute, and remained healthy in the newborn nursery. We measured the time elapsed between birth of the buttocks and the moment when the umbilical cord was clamped. Indications for a caesarean section were previous caesarean sections, or in some cases, cephalopelvic disproportion. Four mothers were in early labour at the time of the section. All infants were at term and none suffered any cardiopulmonary distress during the neonatal period. All sections were performed under epidural anesthesia. At delivery, infants were held above of the level of the surgical table and below the height of maternal abdomen. This level was similar to the level at which vaginally delivered infants were held. The cord was handled carefully so as to stretch it as little as possible, but it was often necessary to unwind it from the infant's neck or legs. We measured the time elapsed from the birth of the buttocks in a vertex, cephalic presentation when the breech was first delivered through the uterine incision to the first cry and the time when the umbilical cord was clamped. We evaluated the volume of blood collected and the number of CD34+ cells contained in the foetal cord blood according to the birth route: caesarean section or vaginal delivery. The method of blood collection consisted of puncturing, with an 18-gauge needle, the umbilical cord vein and withdrawing the blood into a sterile bag immediately after clamping and newborn assistance (Figure 1). The blood was collected when the placenta was still in utero. In fact, as shown by Dunn, after vaginal delivery of an infant, the compression of the placenta due to uterine contractions forces blood from the placenta to the infant and hastens placenta transfusion. The blood contained in placenta flows by gravity from the umbilical cord to the sterile collection bag. Collection was approved by the local ethical committee and an informed consent was obtained from the mother before each collection. The indications for a caesarean delivery were independent from the study. UCB clamping times, independently from the research, were obviously different in the two groups, owing to the technical reasons based on the type of delivery. Clamping times were particularly shorter in caesarean sections with respect to vaginal deliveries. This is particularly important because the time allowed between delivery of an infant and clamping of his/her umbilical cord is the principal determinant of the distribution of blood between the infant and the placenta.

Outcome

The results showed that cord blood volume and the number of CD34+ cells collected were similar between the 2 groups (Tab.1). The higher median volume of blood collected from infant delivered through a caesarean section seems mainly due to the different clamping time rather than to the kind of delivery.




Figure 1. Umbilical cord blood collection in cesarean section

	Caesarean Section (n=434)	Vaginal Delivery (n=429)	p-value
Cord Blood Volume (ml)	59±27	55±23	0.11†
Cord Blood CD34+ (x10 ⁵)	30±6	17.4±2.4	0.08†

*Mann-Whitney U Test.

†Not statistically significant.

Table 1. Umbilical cord blood data

Conclusion

In conclusion, according to what was previously reported, there was no statistically significant difference between the quantity and the quality of blood collected during caesarean sections or vaginal deliveries. This has an important implication in the selection of the kind of delivery for the crucial collections performed when a pregnant woman has a child affected by a disease treatable with stem cells transplantation. These cells can be obtained from either the newborn foetal cord blood or his bone marrow, if he is HLA compatible. In such cases it is not necessary to perform a caesarean delivery to attempt to collect a higher blood volume and consequently a higher number of transplantable foetal haematopoietic stem cells.

References

1. Gabutti V, Timeus F, Ramenghi U, Crescenzo N, Marranca D et al. Expansion of cord blood progenitors and use for hemopoietic reconstitution. *Stem Cells*. 1993, 11(Suppl 2): 105-112.
2. Pafumi C, Chiarenza M, Russo A, Farina M, Pernicone G et al. Collection of umbilical cord in cesarean section and vaginal delivery. *Ann Saudi Med*. 2002, 22(5-6): 408-410.
3. Pafumi C, Farina M, Bandiera S, Cavallaro A, Pernicone G et al. Differences in umbilical cord blood units collected during cesarean section, before or after the delivery of the placenta. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*. 2002, 54(2): 73-77.
4. Pafumi C, Farina M, Maggi I, Russo A, Pernicone G et al. Influence of the kind of delivery on umbilical cord blood collection. *Haematologia (Budap)*. 2002, 31(4): 341-345.
5. Tahseen S, Griffiths M. Vaginal birth after two caesarean sections (VBAC-2)-a systematic review with meta-analysis of success rate and adverse outcomes of VBAC-2 versus VBAC-1 and repeat (third) caesarean sections. *BJOG*. 2010, 117(1): 5-19.
6. Guise JM, Eden K, Emeis C, Denman MA, Marshall N et al. Vaginal birth after cesarean: new insights. *Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep)*. 2010, 191: 1-397.
7. Sparrow RL, Cauchi JA, Ramadi LT, Waugh CM, Kirkland MA. Influence of mode of birth and collection on WBC yields of umbilical cord blood units. *Transfusion*. 2002, 42(2): 210-015.
8. Bertolini F, Battaglia M, De Iulio C. Placental blood collection: effect on newborns. *Blood*. 1995, 85(11): 3361-3362.
9. Broxmeyer HE, Douglas GW, Hancgoc G. Human umbilical cord as a potential source of transplantable haematopoietic stem/progenitor cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1989, 86(10): 3228-3232.
10. Carlo-Stella C, Cazzola M, De Fabritis P. CD34-positive cells: biology and clinical relevance. *Haematologica*. 1995, 80(4): 367-387.
11. Craig JIO, Turner ML, Parker AC. Peripheral blood stem cell transplantation. *Blood Review*. 1992, 6(2): 59-63.
12. Emerson SG. Ex vivo expansion of hematopoietic precursors, progenitors, and stem cells: the next generation of cellular therapeutics. *Blood*. 1996, 87(8): 3082-3088.
13. Gluckman E, Thierry D, Lesage S. Clinical application of stem cells transfusion from cord blood. *Trasf Sci*. 1992, 13(4): 415-412.
14. Gluckman E. European organization for cord blood banking. *Blood Cells*. 1994, 20(2-3): 601.
15. Hakemberg P, Kogler G and Wernet P. NETCORD: a cord blood allocation network. *Bone Marrow Transpl*. 1998, 22(1): 17-18.
16. Krause DS, Fackler MJ, Civin CI. CD34: structure, biology and clinical utility. *Blood*. 1996, 87(1): 11.
17. Stockschrader M, Hassan HT, Zeller W. Allogeneic transplantation with CD34+-selected cells. *Leukemia Lymphoma*. 2001, 28(4): 145.
18. Yao AC, Moinian M, Lind J. Distribution of blood between infant and placenta after birth. *Lancet*. 1969, 2(7626): 871.